



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/689,504	10/21/2003	Gilad Odinak	INTL-1-1038	4395	
25315 759	0 07/06/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BLACK LOWE & GRAHAM, PLLC			BEAULIEU, YONEL		
701 FIFTH AVE SUITE 4800	NUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SEATTLE, WA	98104		3661		

DATE MAILED: 07/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/689,504		ODINAK ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Yonel Beaulieu	3661					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
Period for Reply								
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replore to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		r, may a reply be timely filed um of thirty (30) days will be considered (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of scome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 13	f this communication.				
Status		•	•					
1)[X]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>5/20</u>	5/05		·				
•	<u> </u>	s action is non-final.						
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
-,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
7/23	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>22 and 24-40</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
·	6) Claim(s) 22 and 24-40 is/are rejected.							
·	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requireme	ent.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority :	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen		_						
· —	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		erview Summary (PTO-413) per No(s)/Mail Date					
· —	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08		otice of Informal Patent Application	n (PTO-152)				
	er No(s)/Mail Date		her:					

Application/Control Number: 10/689,504

Art Unit: 3661

Response to Amendment

With claim 23 canceled, claims 22 and 24 – 40 remain in the Application.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 5/26/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues the amendment presented in the paper filed 5/26/05 render the claim remaining claims patentably distinguishable over U.S. Patent No. 6,487,494. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. As a whole, Applicant's claimed invention is directed to a vehicle navigation method whose end purpose is to navigate a vehicle through a trip using voice navigation prompts stored in a trip plan table. The amendments to claims do not render the claims patentably distinguishable because the limitation such as "communicating voice instructions" is the same as presenting "audible instructions;" replacing the limitation "a memory associated with the server" with a "memory server" is just different in wording. Again, it a matter of rewording with regard to "the table of locations" replaced by a "trip plan table." For at least these reasons, it is believed the double patenting to be still in order.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11

Application/Control Number: 10/689,504

Art Unit: 3661

F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 22 and 24 – 40 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 - 21 of U.S.

Patent No. 6,487,494. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention "communicating voice instructions" is the same as presenting "audible instructions;" replacing the limitation "a memory associated with the server" with a "memory server" is just different in wording. Again, it a matter of rewording with regard to "the table of locations" replaced by a "trip plan table"

Art Unit: 3661

all in order to achieve the same end result of navigating a vehicle to a location using voice prompts and identifiers.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yonel Beaulieu whose telephone number is (703) 305-4072. The examiner can normally be reached on M-R, from 0900-1600.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas BLACK can be reached on (703) 305-8233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/689,504

Art Unit: 3661

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Y. BEAULIEU AU 3661